1 2 3 RICHARD W. WIEKING 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 No. C 10-04774 EJD (PR) THOMAS ARTHUR APPLEGATE, 10 Petitioner, United States District Court 11 OF APPEAL For the Northern District of California 12 VS. 13 KATHLEEN DICKENSON, Warden, 14 Respondent. 15 16 Petitioner filed a pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 17 18 19 20 21 thirty day extension of time to file a notice of appeal and a second notice of appeal. Because 22 23 appeal is DENIED as unnecessary. 24 This order terminates docket number 26. 25 26 IT SO ORDERED. DATED: 28

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE

challenging a judgment of conviction from Humboldt County Superior Court. On September 24, 2012, the Court denied the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. (Doc. No. 23.) On October 17, 2012, Petitioner filed a notice of appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal (Doc. No. 25.) See Houston v. Lack, 437 US 266, 275-76 (1988). On November 2, 2012, Petitioner filed a motion for a

Petitioner's original notice of appeal is timely, Petitioner's motion for an extension of time to file an

Order Denying Motion for Extension of Time to file Notice of Appeal Applegate04774 deny-eot-noa.wpd

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

28